| 1<br>2<br>3 | DONALD M. FALK (SBN 150256)<br>dfalk@mayerbrown.com<br>JOHN M. NEUKOM (SBN 275887)<br>jneukom@mayerbrown.com<br>MAYER BROWN LLP<br>Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 300 |                            |  |
|-------------|--|----------------------------|--|
| 4           | 3000 El Camino Real<br>Palo Alto, CA 94306-2112  |                            |  |
| 5           | Telephone: (650) 331-2000<br>Facsimile: (650) 331-2060   |                            |  |
| 6<br>7      | Attorneys for Plaintiff AT&T Mobility LLC  |                            |  |
| 8           | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |                            |  |
| 9           | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |                            |  |
| 10          | SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION   |                            |  |
| 11          | AT&T MOBILITY LLC,   | Case No. 3:11-cv-03992-CRB |  |
| 12          | Plaintiff,   |                            |  |
| 13          | V.   | PROPOSED ORDER             |  |
| 14          | LESLIE BERNARDI and LAURA BARRETT,   |                            |  |
| 15          | Defendants.  |                            |  |
| 16          |  |                            |  |
| 17          |  |                            |  |
| 18          |  |                            |  |
| 19          |  |                            |  |
| 20          |  |                            |  |
| 21          |  |                            |  |
| 22          |  |                            |  |
| 23          |  |                            |  |
| 24          |  |                            |  |
| 25          |  |                            |  |
| 26          |  |                            |  |
| 27          |  |                            |  |
| 28          |  | PROPOSED ORDER;            |  |
|             | CASE NO. 3:11-CV-03992-CRB   |                            |  |

Pursuant to stipulation, it is hereby ORDERED THAT:

- (1) Both Plaintiff AT&T Mobility LLC's claims and Defendants Leslie Bernardi and Laura Barrett's counterclaims shall be voluntarily dismissed without prejudice;
- (2) Because the stay of arbitrations entered on September 9, 2011 (Dkt. No. 18) is moot, the \$7,000 that ATTM deposited with the Court as security (Dkt. No. 44) shall be refunded to ATTM.

Date: January 6, 2012

